
From: Muraoka, John T CIV NAVFAC HI, EVN40
To: Miyamoto, Faith
CC: david.m.sullivan1@navy.mil
Sent: 11/3/2010 3:19:06 PM
Subject: FW: Navy signatory status

Aloha Faith,

Now that we have officially requested to become signatories to the PA, and it appears that the FTA is in concurrence, can you tell me about when we can expect this to go before the City Council, and with the new administration and Council, if there are any changes in position, or any problems anticipated? Thanks and appreciate your help on this.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov [mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 03, 2010 7:48
To: Muraoka, John T CIV NAVFAC HI, EVN40
Subject: RE: Navy signatory status

thanks John, The City will be bring the agreement back to the City Council, I'm not sure of the timing on that but there are other issues besides the Navy's signatory status. I will check with Faith on their timeline.

From: Muraoka, John T CIV NAVFAC HI, EVN40 [mailto:john.muraoka@navy.mil]
Sent: Wed 11/3/2010 10:32 AM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Cc: david.m.sullivan1@navy.mil; randall.y.young@navy.mil
Subject: RE: Navy signatory status

Aloha Ted,

The Navy would like to be a signatory. There will be some adverse effects on portions of the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex, and there will be future Section 106 responsibilities pertaining to the proposed Makalapa Station. Awhile back, Faith Miyamoto told us that such a change to include the Navy as signatories would have to be approved by the Honolulu City Council. Do you know if this is still the case, and if so, when the issue will be raised before the City Council? Appreciate all your help on this. Let me know if there are any questions.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov [mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 8:54
To: Muraoka, John T CIV NAVFAC HI, EVN40
Subject: RE: Navy signatory status

Not much really. If the agency has 106 responsibilities and property affected, usually they are a signatory in recognition of that. The invited is more the result of some determination during the consultation/development that an agency should be a signatory, thus they are invited. Our HQ people felt you should decide based on your determination if you have a 106 undertaking or not.

-----Original Message-----

From: Muraoka, John T CIV NAVFAC HI, EVN40
[mailto:john.muraoka@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 11:45 AM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Subject: RE: Navy signatory status

Aloha Ted,

Could you elaborate a little on what the differences are between the two. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov [mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 8:44
To: Muraoka, John T CIV NAVFAC HI, EVN40
Subject: Navy signatory status

Hi John, We are trying to confirm whether the Navy will be a signatory or an invited signatory to the 106 PA for the Honolulu.

I believe that there are Navy lands used for the project, which would suggest that the Navy has a 106 undertaking and should be a regular signatory.

Please let me know if you concur.

Thanks,

Ted Matley

FTA Region IX

415-744-2590